

to the Society and always at a word from
me gave up any peculiar ideas he
may have had - now he is a good deal
his own master

Uroskinnera *Berenetia* *Hennrichia*
and *Leucocarpus* form a little group
in *Chelonien* with lobuloid or basate
fruit - *Uroskinnera* coming near
Chelone *Tetranema* is also lobuloid
but comes nearer *Peucelemonis*

I do not know what to do with
your *Synopsis* from Cuba we
have not a flower to spare for
examination on our specimen.

Yours very sincerely
George Donnell

25, WILTON PLACE.
S.W.

Sept 17/95

My dear Gray

Since I last wrote I have seen
the original specimens of *Thysalis*
arborescens - Houston's from Campeche
and Miller's specimen. It is a true
Thysalis though differing from the
rest of the genus in the apparently
woody stem and the pedicels clustered
instead of solitary. Miller's specimen shows
the common *Thysalis* ^{flowers} calyx and corolla
and the fruiting calyx he figures is normal

I do not agree with you in keeping
up *Tonella* as distinct from *Collinsia* I
have opened several ovaries and always
found 2 or 3 ovules in each cell except one
when there were only 3 in the ovary
altogether - *Geopon* specimens, ^{and}
some of *Guadalupe* referred in the past to
Collinsia *texella* (*Tonella*) have rather
larger flowers otherwise like *Tonella* and

the habit and foliage of *Collinsia parviflora*
- the latter often receives only one or two
seeds in each cell and the middle lobe of
the lower lip of the corolla is not near
so complete as in the typical *Collinsia*

Your *Spizbreckia* is a fine genus but
cannot go into *Chelonea* - with *Demophyllum*
and *Heteranthera* (two very different genera
you will see in nois) it has all the
leaves alternate with the Scrophularineae,
corolla and stamens - the two latter
cannot go with *Salpiglossidion* into
Colanum - they do not fit well into
any tribes of Scrophularium as I think
I must make a little group of the three
genera connecting Scroph. with Dian.

I do not know where to put *Chiono-*
phala The original specimen is very
small and in bud only and the only flower
I could examine was imperfect and
did not show the bearded palate The
good specimen you have supplied fully
characterize the flower but we have
no fruit You say you have seeds and

can therefore say what it is - Can it
belong to the *Clatriniferae* which in
other respects it comes near to than
to any others.

Is not *Saccularia Kelloggii* a true
Silene - the *S. linearis* has a wide
range along the coast and the smaller
floral leaves are sometimes almost
ternate - and if Kellogg's is at all right
about the behavior his must be a
second species not very far from the
typical one - It cannot well be a *Psilidium*

Very sorry to hear by your last that
you have been unwell - We cannot
afford to have you laid up even for
a time - Pray let us know that you are
better

I forwarded your note to Kippist
who writes to me that he has complied with
your wishes - I go but little now to the
Linnean Society and seldom see Kippist
He is a great stickler as to trifles and always
required keeping in order but thoroughly
well meaning and devoted heart and soul